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## Chapter 1

## Measure Theory and Probability

### 1.1 Set sequences

In this section $\Omega$ is a set and $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is the class of all subsets of $\Omega$.

## Definition 1.1 (Set sequence)

A set sequence is a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
I N & \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \\
n & \rightsquigarrow A_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We represent it by $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.

## Theorem 1.1 (The De Morgan laws)

It holds that
(i) $\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)^{c}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{c}$.
(ii) $\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)^{c}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{c}$.

## Definition 1.2 (Monotone set sequence)

A set sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in N} \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is said to be monotone increasing if and only if $A_{n} \subseteq$ $A_{n+1}, \forall n \in I N$. We represent it by $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \uparrow$. When $A_{n} \supseteq A_{n+1}, \forall n \in I N$, the sequence is said to be monotone decreasing, and we represent it by $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \downarrow$.

Example 1.1 Consider the sequences defined by:
(i) $A_{n}=(-n, n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. This sequence is monotone increasing, since

$$
A_{n}=(-n, n) \subset(-(n+1), n+1)=A_{n+1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

(ii) $B_{n}=(-1 / n, 1+1 / n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. This sequence is monotone decreasing, since

$$
B_{n}=(-1 / n, 1+1 / n) \supset(-1 /(n+1), 1+1 /(n+1))=B_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

## Definition 1.3 (Limit of a set sequence)

(i) We call lower limit of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$, and we denote it $\underline{\lim } A_{n}$, to the set of points of $\Omega$ that belong to all $A_{n}$ s except for a finite number of them.
(ii) We call upper limit of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$, and we denote it $\varlimsup A_{n}$, to the set of points of $\Omega$ that belong to infinite number of $A_{n}$ s. It is also said that $A_{n}$ occurs infinitely often (i.o.), and it is denoted also $\varlimsup A_{n}=A_{n}$ i.o.

Example 1.2 If $\omega \in A_{2 n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\omega \in \overline{\lim } A_{n}$ but $\omega \notin \underline{\lim } A_{n}$ since there is an infinite number of $A_{n}$ s to which $\omega$ does not belong, $\left\{A_{2 n-1}\right\}_{n \in N}$.

## Proposition 1.1 (Another characterization of limit of a set sequence)

(i) $\underline{\lim } A_{n}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_{n}$
(ii) $\overline{\lim } A_{n}=\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_{n}$

## Proposition 1.2 (Relation between lower and upper limits)

The lower and upper limits of a set sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\varliminf A_{n} \subseteq \varlimsup A_{n}
$$

## Definition 1.4 (Convergence)

A set sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ converges if and only if

$$
\underline{\varliminf} A_{n}=\varlimsup A_{n} .
$$

Then, we call limit of $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ to

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}=\underline{\lim } A_{n}=\varlimsup A_{n} .
$$

Definition 1.5 (Inferior/Superior limit of a sequence of real numbers)
Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence. We define:
(i) $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\sup _{k} \inf _{n \geq k} a_{n}$;
(ii) $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\inf _{k} \sup _{n \geq k} a_{n}$.

## Proposition 1.3 (Convergence of monotone set sequences)

Any monotone (increasing or decreasing) set sequence converges, and it holds:
(i) If $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \uparrow$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$.
(ii) If $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \downarrow$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$.

Example 1.3 Obtain the limits of the following set sequences:
(i) $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$, where $A_{n}=(-n, n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$, where $B_{n}=(-1 / n, 1+1 / n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) By the previous proposition, since $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \uparrow$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}(-n, n)=\mathbb{R}
$$

(ii) Again, using the previous proposition, since $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \downarrow$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}, 1+\frac{1}{n}\right)=[0,1] .
$$

## Problems

1. Prove Proposition 1.1.
2. Define sets of real numbers as follows. Let $A_{n}=(-1 / n, 1]$ if $n$ is odd, and $A_{n}=$ $(-1,1 / n]$ if $n$ is even. Find $\underline{\lim } A_{n}$ and $\overline{\lim } A_{n}$.
3. Prove Proposition 1.2.
4. Prove Proposition 1.3.
5. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $A_{n}$ the interior of the circle with center at the point $\left((-1)^{n} / n, 0\right)$ and radius 1. Find $\underline{\lim } A_{n}$ and $\overline{\lim } A_{n}$.
6. Prove that $\left(\underline{\lim } A_{n}\right)^{c}=\overline{\lim } A_{n}^{c}$ and $\left(\overline{\lim } A_{n}\right)^{c}=\underline{\lim } A_{n}^{c}$.
7. Using the De Morgan laws and Proposition 1.3, prove that if $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \uparrow A$, then $\left\{A_{n}^{c}\right\} \downarrow A^{c}$ while if $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \downarrow A$, then $\left\{A_{n}^{c}\right\} \uparrow A^{c}$.
8. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers and let $A_{n}=\left(-\infty, x_{n}\right)$. What is the connection between $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}$ and $\underline{\lim } A_{n}$ ? Similarly between $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}$ and $\varlimsup A_{n}$.

### 1.2 Structures of subsets

A probability function will be a function defined over events or subsets of a sample space $\Omega$. It is convenient to provide a "good" structure to these subsets, which in turn will provide "good" properties to the probability function. In this section we study collections of subsets of a set $\Omega$ with a good structure.

## Definition 1.6 (Algebra)

An algebra (also called field) $\mathcal{A}$ over a set $\Omega$ is a collection of subsets of $\Omega$ that has the following properties:
(i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$;
(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A^{c} \in \mathcal{A}$;
(iii) If $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \in \mathcal{A}$.

An algebra over $\Omega$ contains both $\Omega$ and $\emptyset$. It also contains all finite unions and intersections of sets from $\mathcal{A}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under complementation, finite union and finite intersection. Extending property (iii) to an infinite sequence of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ we obtain a $\sigma$-algebra.

## Definition 1.7 ( $\sigma$-algebra)

A $\sigma$-algebra (or $\sigma$-field) $\mathcal{A}$ over a set $\Omega$ is a collection of subsets of $\Omega$ that has the following properties:
(i) $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$;
(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A^{c} \in \mathcal{A}$;
(iii) If $A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots$ is a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Thus, a $\sigma$-algebra is closed under countable union. It is also closed under countable intersection. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra, a countable union of sets in $\mathcal{A}$ can be expressed as the limit of an increasing sequence of sets, the finite unions $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$. Thus, a $\sigma$-algebra is an algebra that is closed under limits of increasing sequences.

Example 1.4 The smallest $\sigma$-algebra is $\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. The smallest $\sigma$-algebra that contains a subset $A \subset \Omega$ is $\left\{\emptyset, A, A^{c}, \Omega\right\}$. It is contained in any other $\sigma$-algebra containing $A$. The collection of all subsets of $\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, is a well known algebra called the algebra of the parts of $\Omega$.

Definition 1.8 ( $\sigma$-algebra spanned by a collection of events $\mathcal{C}$ )
Given a collection of sets $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, we define the $\sigma$-algebra spanned by $\mathcal{C}$, and we denote it by $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, as the smallest $\sigma$-algebra that contains $\mathcal{C}$.

Remark 1.1 For each $\mathcal{C}$, the $\sigma$-algebra spanned by $\mathcal{C}, \sigma(\mathcal{C})$, always exists, since $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ is the intersection of all $\sigma$-algebras that contain $\mathcal{C}$ and at least $\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \supset \mathcal{C}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra.

When $\Omega$ is finite or countable, it is common to work with the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, so we will use this one unless otherwise stated. In the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$, later we will consider probability measures and we will want to obtain probabilities of intervals. Thus, we need a $\sigma$-algebra containing all intervals. The Borel $\sigma$-algebra is based on this idea, and it will be used by default when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$.

## Definition 1.9 (Borel $\sigma$-algebra)

Consider the sample space $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ and the collection of intervals of the form

$$
I=\{(-\infty, a]: a \in \mathbb{R}\}
$$

We define the Borel $\sigma$-algebra over $\mathbb{R}$, represented by $\mathcal{B}$, as the $\sigma$-algebra spanned by $I$.
The Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ contains all complements, countable intersections and unions of elements of $I$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}$ contains all types of intervals and isolated points of $\mathbb{R}$, although $\mathcal{B}$ is not equal to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$. For example,

- $(a, \infty) \in \mathcal{B}$, since $(a, \infty)=(-\infty, a]^{c}$, and $(-\infty, a] \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $(a, b] \in \mathbb{R}, \forall a<b$, since this interval can be expressed as $(a, b]=(-\infty, b] \cap(a, \infty)$, where $(-\infty, b] \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(a, \infty) \in \mathcal{B}$.
- $\{a\} \in \mathcal{B}, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\{a\}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a-\frac{1}{n}, a\right]$, which belongs to $\mathcal{B}$.

When the sample space $\Omega$ is continuous but is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$, we need a $\sigma$-algebra restricted to subsets of $\Omega$.

## Definition 1.10 (Restricted Borel $\sigma$-algebra)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. We define the Borel $\sigma$-algebra restricted to $A$ as the collection

$$
\mathcal{B}_{A}=\{B \cap A: B \in \mathcal{B}\} .
$$

In the following we define the space over which measures, including probability measures, will be defined. This space will be the one whose elements will be suitable to "measure".

Definition 1.11 (Measurable space)
The pair $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$, where $\Omega$ is a sample space and $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra over $\Omega$, is called measurable space.

## Problems

1. Let $A, B \in \Omega$ with $A \cap B=\emptyset$. Construct the smallest $\sigma$-algebra that contains $A$ and $B$.
2. Prove that an algebra over $\Omega$ contains all finite intersections of sets from $\mathcal{A}$.
3. Prove that a $\sigma$-algebra over $\Omega$ contains all countable intersections of sets from $\mathcal{A}$.
4. Prove that a $\sigma$-algebra is an algebra that is closed under limits of increasing sequences.
5. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of all finite unions of disjoint elements from the set

$$
\mathcal{C}=\{(a, b],(-\infty, a],(b, \infty) ; a \leq b\}
$$

Prove that $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra.

### 1.3 Set functions

In the following $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra and we consider the extended real line given by $\overline{\mathbb{R}}=$ $\mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$.

## Definition 1.12 (Additive set function)

A set function $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is additive if it satisfies:

$$
\text { For all }\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \in \mathcal{A} \text { with } A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset, i \neq j, \phi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

We will assume that $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ cannot both belong to the range of $\phi$. We will exclude the cases $\phi(A)=+\infty$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi(A)=-\infty$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Extending the definition to an infinite sequence, we obtain a $\sigma$-additive set function.

## Definition 1.13 ( $\sigma$-additive set function)

A set function $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is $\sigma$-additive if it satisfies:

$$
\text { For all }\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in N} \in \mathcal{A} \text { with } A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset, i \neq j, \phi\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi\left(A_{n}\right) .
$$

Observe that a $\sigma$-additive set function is well defined, since the infinite union of sets of $\mathcal{A}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ because $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra. It is easy to see that an additive function satisfies $\phi(\emptyset)=0$. Moreover, countable additivity implies finite additivity.

## Definition 1.14 (Measure)

A set function $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a measure if
(a) $\phi$ is $\sigma$-additive;
(b) $\phi(A) \geq 0, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$.

## Definition 1.15 (Probability measure)

A measure $\mu$ with $\mu(\Omega)=1$ is called a probability measure.

## Example 1.5 (Counting measure)

Let $\Omega$ be any countable set and consider the $\sigma$-algebra of the parts of $\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Define $\mu(A)$ as the number of points of $A$. The set function $\mu$ is a measure known as the counting measure.

## Example 1.6 (Probability measure)

Let $\Omega=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a finite or countably infinite set, and let $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots$, be nonnegative numbers. Consider the $\sigma$-algebra of the parts of $\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and define

$$
\mu(A)=\sum_{x_{i} \in A} p_{i} .
$$

The set function $\mu$ is a probability measure if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i}=1$.

## Example 1.7 (Lebesgue measure)

A well known measure defined over $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, which assigns to each element of $\mathcal{B}$ its length, is the Lebesgue measure, denoted here as $\lambda$. For an interval, either open, close or semiclosed, the Lebesgue measure is the length of the interval. For a single point, the Lebesgue measure is zero.

## Definition 1.16 ( $\sigma$-finite set function)

A set function $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\sigma$-finite if $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of disjoint elements of $\mathcal{A}$ with $\phi\left(A_{n}\right)<\infty \forall n$, whose union covers $A$, that is,

$$
A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} .
$$

## Definition 1.17 (Measure space)

The triplet $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, where $\Omega$ is a sample space, $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra and $\mu$ is a measure defined over $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$, is called measure space.

## Definition 1.18 (Absolutely continuous measure with respect to another)

A measure $\mu$ on Borel subsets of the real line is absolutely continuous with respect to another measure $\lambda$ if $\lambda(A)=0$ implies that $\mu(A)=0$. It is also said that $\mu$ is dominated by $\lambda$, and written as $\mu \ll \lambda$.

If a measure on the real line is simply said to be absolutely continuous, this typically means absolute continuity with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Although a probability function is simply a measure $\mu$ satisfying $\mu(\Omega)=1$ as mentioned above, in Section 1.4 we give the classical definition of probability through the axioms of Kolmogoroff.

## Problems

1. Prove that for any finitely additive set function $\mu$ defined on an algebra $\mathcal{A}$,

$$
\mu(\emptyset)=0 .
$$

2. Prove that for any finitely additive set function $\mu$ defined on an algebra $\mathcal{A}$,

$$
\mu(A \cup B)+\mu(A \cap B)=\mu(A)+\mu(B)
$$

3. Prove that for any finitely additive set function $\mu$ defined on an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, if $B \subseteq A$, then

$$
\mu(A)=\mu(B)+\mu(A-B)
$$

4. Prove that for any nonnegative finitely additive set function $\mu$ defined on an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then for all $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

5. Prove that for any measure $\mu$ defined on an algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then for all $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

### 1.4 Probability measures

## Definition 1.19 (Random experiment)

A random experiment is a process for which:

- the set of possible results is known;
- its result cannot be predicted without error;
- if we repeat it in identical conditions, the result can be different.


## Definition 1.20 (Elementary event, sample space, event)

The possible results of the random experiment that are indivisible are called elementary events. The set of elementary events is known as sample space, and it will be denoted $\Omega$. An event $A$ is a subset of $\Omega$, such that once the random experiment is carried out, we can say that $A$ "has occurred" if the result of the experiment is contained in $A$.

Example 1.8 Examples of random experiments are:
(a) Tossing a coin. The sample space is $\Omega=\{$ "head", "tail" $\}$. Events are: $\emptyset,\{" h e a d "\}$, $\{" t a i l "\}, \Omega$.
(b) Observing the number of traffic accidents in a minute in Spain. The sample space is $\Omega=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.
(c) Drawing a Spanish woman aged between 20 and 40 and measuring her weight (in kgs.). The sample space is $\Omega=[m, \infty)$, were $m$ is the minimum possible weight.

We will require that the collection of events has a structure of $\sigma$-algebra. This will make possible to obtain the probability of all complements, unions and intersections of events. The probabilities will be set functions defined over a measurable space composed by the sample space $\Omega$ and a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $\Omega$.

Example 1.9 For the experiment (a) described in Example 1.8, a measurable space is:

$$
\Omega=\{\text { "head", "tail" }\}, \quad \mathcal{A}=\{\emptyset,\{" h e a d "\},\{" t a i l "\}, \Omega\} .
$$

For the experiment (b), the sample space is $\Omega=N \cup\{0\}$. If we take the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, then $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$ is a measurable space. Finally, for the experiment (c), with sample space $\Omega=[m, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$, a suitable $\sigma$-algebra is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra restricted to $\Omega, \mathcal{B}_{[m, \infty)}$.

## Definition 1.21 (Axiomatic definition of probability by Kolmogoroff)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space, where $\Omega$ is a sample space and $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra over $\Omega$. A probability function is a set function $P: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0,1]$ that satisfies the following axioms:
(i) $P(\Omega)=1$;
(ii) For any sequence $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ of disjoint elements of $\mathcal{A}$, it holds

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{n}\right) .
$$

By axiom (ii), a probability function is a measure for which the measure of the sample space $\Omega$ is 1 . The triplet $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$, where $P$ is a probability $P$, is called probability space.

Example 1.10 For the experiment (a) described in Example 1.8, with the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$, where

$$
\Omega=\{\text { "head", "tail" }\}, \quad \mathcal{A}=\{\emptyset,\{" h e a d "\},\{" t a i l "\}, \Omega\},
$$

define

$$
P_{1}(\emptyset)=0, P_{1}(\{" h e a d "\})=p, P_{1}(\{" \text { tail" }\})=1-p, P_{1}(\Omega)=1,
$$

where $p \in[0,1]$. This function verifies the axioms of Kolmogoroff.
Example 1.11 For the experiment (b) described is Example 1.8, with the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$, define:

- For the elementary events, the probability is

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
P(\{0\})=0.131, & P(\{1\})=0.272, \quad P(\{2\})=0.27, \quad P(\{3\})=0.183, \\
P(\{4\})=0.09, & P(\{5\})=0.012, \quad P(\{6\})=0.00095, \quad P(\{7\})=0.00005, \\
P(\emptyset)=0, \quad P(\{i\})=0, \quad \forall i \geq 8 .
\end{array}
$$

- For other events, the probability is defined as the sum of the probabilities of the elementary events contains in that event, that is, if $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$, where $a_{i} \in \Omega$ are the elementary events, the probability of $A$ is

$$
P(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)
$$

This function verifies the axioms of Kolmogoroff.

## Proposition 1.4 (Properties of the probability)

The following properties are consequence of the axioms of Kolmogoroff:
(i) $P(\emptyset)=0$;
(ii) Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset, i \neq j$. Then,

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

(iii) $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, P(A) \leq 1$.
(iv) $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, P\left(A^{c}\right)=1-P(A)$.
(v) For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subseteq B$, it holds $P(A) \leq P(B)$.
(vi) Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ be two events. Then

$$
P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B) .
$$

(vii) Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ be events. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right)-\sum_{\substack{i_{1}, i_{2}=1 \\
i_{1}<i_{2}}}^{n} P\left(A_{i_{1}} \cap A_{i_{2}}\right)+\sum_{\substack{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}=1 \\
i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3}}}^{n} P\left(A_{i_{1}} \cap A_{i_{2}} \cap A_{i_{3}}\right)+\cdots \\
& +(-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}=1 \\
i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}}}^{n} P\left(A_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap A_{i_{k}}\right)+\cdots+(-1)^{n-1} P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \cdots \cap A_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, for $n=2$ we get property (vi).

## Proposition 1.5 (Bool's inequality)

For any sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ it holds

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

## Proposition 1.6 (Sequential continuity of the probability)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be a probability space. Then, for any monotone sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ of events from $\mathcal{A}$ it holds

$$
P\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(A_{n}\right) .
$$

Example 1.12 Consider the random experiment of selecting randomly a number from $[0,1]$. Then the sample space is $\Omega=[0,1]$. Consider also the Borel $\sigma$-algebra restricted to $[0,1]$ and define the function

$$
g(x)=P([0, x]), \quad x \in(0,1) .
$$

Proof that $g$ is always right continuous, for each probability measure $P$ that we choose.

## Example 1.13 (Construction of a probability measure for countable $\Omega$ )

If $\Omega$ is finite or countable, the $\sigma$-algebra that is typically chosen is $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. In this case, in order to define a probability function, it suffices to define the probabilities of the elementary events $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ as $P\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)=p_{i}, \forall a_{i} \in \Omega$ with the condition that $\sum_{i} p_{i}=1$, $p_{i} \geq 0, \forall i$. Then, $\forall A \subset \Omega$,

$$
P(A)=\sum_{a_{i} \in A} P\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)=\sum_{a_{i} \in A} p_{i}
$$

Example 1.14 (Construction of a probability measure in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ )
How can we construct a probability measure in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ ? In general, it is not possible to define a probability measure by assigning directly a numerical value to each $A \in \mathcal{B}$, since then probably the axioms of Kolmogoroff will not be satisfied.

For this, we will first consider the collection of intervals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\{(a, b],(-\infty, a],(b,+\infty): a<b\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start by assigning values of $P$ to intervals from $\mathcal{C}$, by ensuring that $P$ is $\sigma$-additive on $\mathcal{C}$. Then, we consider the algebra $\mathcal{F}$ obtained by doing finite unions of disjoint intervals from $\mathcal{C}$ and we extend $P$ to $\mathcal{F}$. The extended function will be a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F})$. Finally, there is a unique extension of a probability measure from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\sigma(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{B}$, see the following propositions.

Proposition 1.7 Consider the collection of all finite unions of disjoint intervals from $\mathcal{C}$ in (1.1),

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}: A_{i} \in \mathcal{C}, A_{i} \text { disjoint }\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{F}$ is an algebra.
Next we extend $P$ from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{F}$ as follows.

## Proposition 1.8 (Extension of the probability function)

(a) For all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, since $A=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$, with $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$, let us define

$$
P_{1}(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right]
$$

Then, $P_{1}$ is a probability measure over $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F})$.
(b) For all $A \in \mathcal{C}$, it holds that $P(A)=P_{1}(A)$.

Observe that $\mathcal{B}=\sigma(\mathcal{F})$. Finally, can we extend $P$ from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{B}=\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ ? If the answer is positive, is the extension unique? The next theorem gives the answer to these two questions.

## Theorem 1.2 (Caratheodory's Extension Theorem)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be a probability space, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra. Then, $P$ can be extended from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$, and the extension is unique (i.e., there exists a unique probability measure $\hat{P}$ over $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ with $\hat{P}(A)=P(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{A})$.

The extension of $P$ from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\sigma(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{B}$ is done by steps. First, $P$ is extended to the collection of the limits of increasing sequences of events in $\mathcal{F}$, denoted $\mathcal{L}$. It holds that $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{L} \supset \sigma(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{B}$ (Monotone Class Theorem). The probability of each event $A$ from $\mathcal{L}$ is defined as the limit of the probabilities of the sequences of events from $\mathcal{F}$ that converge to $A$. Afterwards, $P$ is extended to the $\sigma$-algebra of the parts of $\mathbb{R}$. For each subset $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$, the probability is defined as the infimum of the probabilities of the events in $\mathcal{L}$ that contain $A$. This extension is not countably additive on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$, only on a smaller $\sigma$-algebra, so $P$ it is not a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}))$. Finally, a $\sigma$-algebra in which $P$ is a probability measure is defined as the collection $\mathcal{H}$ of subsets $H \subset \Omega$, for
which $P(H)+P\left(H^{c}\right)=1$. This collection is indeed a $\sigma$-algebra that contains $\mathcal{L}$ and $P$ is a probability measure on it. It holds that $\sigma(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $P$ restricted to $\sigma(\mathcal{F})=\mathcal{B}$ is also a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$.

## Problems

1. Prove the properties of the probability measures in Proposition 1.4.
2. Prove Bool's inequality in Proposition 1.4.
3. Prove the Sequential Continuity of the probability in Proposition 1.6.

### 1.5 Other definitions of probability

When $\Omega$ is finite, say $\Omega=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$, many times the elementary events are equiprobable, that is, $P\left(\left\{a_{1}\right\}\right)=\cdots=P\left(\left\{a_{k}\right\}\right)=1 / k$. Then, for $A \subset \Omega$, say $A=\left\{a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}}\right\}$, then

$$
P(A)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} P\left(\left\{a_{i_{j}}\right\}\right)=\frac{m}{k} .
$$

This is the definition of probability given by Laplace, which is useful only for experiments with a finite number of possible results and whose results are, a priori, equally frequent.

## Definition 1.22 (Laplace rule of probability)

The Laplace probability of an event $A \subseteq \Omega$ is the proportion of results favorable to $A$; that is, if $k$ is the number of possible results or cardinal of $\Omega$ and $k(A)$ is the number of results contained in $A$ or cardinal of $A$, then

$$
P(A)=\frac{k(A)}{k} .
$$

In order to apply the Laplace rule, we need to learn to count. The counting techniques are comprised in the area of Combinatorial Analysis.

The following examples show intuitively the frequentist definition of probability.

## Example 1.15 (Frequentist definition of probability)

The following tables report the relative frequencies of the results of the experiments described in Example 1.8, when each of these experiments are repeated $n$ times.
(a) Tossing a coin $n$ times. The table shows that both frequencies of "head" and "tail" converge to 0.5 .

|  | Result |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | "head" | "tail" |
| 10 | 0.700 | 0.300 |
| 20 | 0.550 | 0.450 |
| 30 | 0.467 | 0.533 |
| 100 | 0.470 | 0.530 |
| 1000 | 0.491 | 0.509 |
| 10000 | 0.503 | 0.497 |
| 100000 | 0.500 | 0.500 |


(b) Observation of the number of traffic accidents in $n$ minutes. We can observe in the table below that the frequencies of the possible results of the experiment seem to converge.

|  | Result |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 10 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 | 0.12 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000 | 0.151 | 0.259 | 0.237 | 0.202 | 0.091 | 0.012 | 0.002 | 0 | 0 |
| 10000 | 0.138 | 0.271 | 0.271 | 0.178 | 0.086 | 0.012 | 0.0008 | 0.0001 | 0 |
| 20000 | 0.131 | 0.272 | 0.270 | 0.183 | 0.090 | 0.012 | 0.00095 | 0.00005 | 0 |


(c) Independient drawing of $n$ women aged between 20 and 40 and measuring their weight (in kgs.). Again, we observe that the relative frequencies of the given weight intervals seem to converge.

|  | Weight intervals |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $(0,35]$ | $(35,45]$ | $(45,55]$ | $(55,65]$ | $(65, \infty)$ |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.7 | 0.13 | 0 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.19 | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0 |
| 1000 | 0.005 | 0.219 | 0.678 | 0.098 | 0 |
| 5000 | 0.0012 | 0.197 | 0.681 | 0.121 | 0.0004 |



## Definition 1.23 (Frequentist probability)

The frequentist definition of probability of an event $A$ is the limit of the relative frequency of this event, when we let the number of repetitions of the random experiment grow to infinity.

If the experiment is repeated $n$ times, and $n_{A}$ is the number of repetitions in which $A$ occurs, then the probability of $A$ is

$$
P(A)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{A}}{n} .
$$

## Problems

1. Check if the Laplace definition of probability satisfies the axioms of Kolmogoroff.
2. Check if the frequentist definition of probability satisfies the axioms of Kolmogoroff.

### 1.6 Measurability and Lebesgue integral

A measurable function relates two measurable spaces, preserving the structure of the events.

Definition 1.24 Let $\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{2}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)$ be two measurable spaces. A function $f$ : $\Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{2}$ is said to be measurable if and only if $\forall B \in \mathcal{A}_{2}, f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$, where $f^{-1}(B)=$ $\left\{\omega \in \Omega_{1}: f(\omega) \in B\right\}$.

The sum, product, quotient (when the function in the denominator is different from zero), maximum, minimum and composition of two measurable functions is a measurable function. Moreover, if $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of measurable functions, then

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{f_{n}\right\}, \quad \inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{f_{n}\right\}, \quad \liminf _{n \in N} f_{n}, \quad \limsup _{n \in N} f_{n}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n},
$$

assuming that they exist, are also measurable. If they are infinite, we can consider $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ instead of $\mathbb{R}$.

The following result will gives us a tool useful to check if a function $f$ from $\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$ into $\left(\Omega_{2}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)$ is measurable.

Theorem 1.3 Let $\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{2}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)$ be measure spaces and let $f: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{2}$. Let $C_{2} \subset \mathcal{P}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$ be a collection of subsets that generates $A_{2}$, i.e, such that $\sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{2}$. Then $f$ is measurable if and only of $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \forall A \in \mathcal{C}_{2}$.

Corollary 1.1 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space and consider also the measurable space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then $f$ is measurable if and only if $f^{-1}(-\infty, a] \in \mathcal{A}$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$.

The Lebesgue integral is restricted to measurable functions. We are going to define the integral by steps. We consider measurable functions defined from a measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ on the measurable space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra. We consider also a $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$.

## Definition 1.25 (Indicator function)

Given $S \in \mathcal{A}$, an indicator function, $\mathbf{1}_{S}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, gives value 1 to elements of $S$ and 0 to the rest of elements:

$$
\mathbf{1}_{S}(\omega)= \begin{cases}1, & \omega \in S \\ 0, & \omega \notin S\end{cases}
$$

Next we define simple functions, which are linear combinations of indicator functions.

## Definition 1.26 (Simple function)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Let $a_{i}$ be real numbers and $\left\{S_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ disjoint elements
of $\mathcal{A}$. A simple function has the form

$$
\phi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \mathbf{1}_{S_{i}} .
$$

Proposition 1.9 Indicators and simple functions are measurable.

## Definition 1.27 (Lebesgue integral for simple functions)

(i) The Lebesgue integral of a simple function $\phi$ with respect to a $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$ is defined as

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi d \mu=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \mu\left(S_{i}\right)
$$

(ii) The Lebesgue integral of $\phi$ with respect to $\mu$ over a subset $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\int_{A} \phi d \mu=\int_{A} \phi \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A} d \mu=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \mu\left(A \cap S_{i}\right) .
$$

The next theorem says that for any measurable function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$, we can always find a sequence of measurable functions that converge to $f$. This will allow the definition of the Lebesgue integral.

Theorem 1.4 Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It holds:
(a) $f$ is a positive measurable function if and only if $f=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}$, where $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is an increasing sequence of non negative simple functions.
(b) $f$ is a measurable function if and only if $f=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}$, where $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is an increasing sequence of simple functions.

## Definition 1.28 (Lebesgue integral for non-negative functions)

Let $f$ be a non-negative measurable function defined over $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be an increasing sequence of simple functions that converge pointwise to $f$ (this sequence can be always constructed). The Lebesgue integral of $f$ with respect to the $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$ is defined as

$$
\int_{\Omega} f d \mu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_{n} d \mu
$$

The previous definition is correct due to the following uniqueness theorem.

## Theorem 1.5 (Uniqueness of Lebesgue integral)

Let $f$ be a non-negative measurable function. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ and $\left\{g_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be two increasing sequences of non-negative simple functions that converge pointwise to $f$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_{n} d \mu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} g_{n} d \mu
$$

## Definition 1.29 (Lebesgue integral for general functions)

For a measurable function $f$ that can take negative values, we can write it as the sum of two non-negative functions in the form:

$$
f=f^{+}-f^{-}
$$

where $f^{+}(\omega)=\max \{f(\omega), 0\}$ is the positive part of $f$ and $f^{-}(\omega)=\max \{-f(\omega), 0\}$ is the negative part of $f$. If the integrals of $f^{+}$and $f^{-}$are finite, then the Lebesgue integral of $f$ is

$$
\int_{\Omega} f d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f^{+} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} f^{-} d \mu
$$

assuming that at least one of the integrals on the right is finite.
Definition 1.30 The Lebesgue integral of a measurable function $f$ over a subset $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined as

$$
\int_{A} f d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f \mathbf{1}_{A} d \mu
$$

Definition 1.31 A function is said to be Lebesgue integrable if and only if

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} f d \mu\right|<\infty .
$$

Moreover, if instead of doing the decomposition $f=f^{+}-f^{-}$we do another decomposition, the result is the same.

Theorem 1.6 Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, g_{1}, g_{2}$ be non-negative measurable functions and let $f=f_{1}-$ $f_{2}=g_{1}-g_{2}$. Then,

$$
\int_{\Omega} f_{1} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} f_{2} d \mu=\int_{\Omega} g_{1} d \mu-\int_{\Omega} g_{2} d \mu .
$$

Proposition 1.10 A measurable function $f$ is Lebesgue integrable if and only if $|f|$ is Lebesgue integrable.

Remark 1.2 The Lebesgue integral of a measurable function $f$ defined from a measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, over a Borel set $I=(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$, will also be expressed as

$$
\int_{I} f d \mu=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d \mu(x)
$$

Proposition 1.11 If a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$ is Riemann integrable, then it is also Lebesgue integrable (with respect to the Lebesgue measure $\lambda$ ) and the two integrals coincide, i.e.,

$$
\int_{A} f(x) d \mu(x)=\int_{A} f(x) d x
$$

Example 1.16 The Dirichlet function $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is not continuous in any point of its domain.

- This function is not Riemann integrable in $[0,1]$ because each subinterval will contain at least a rational number and and irrational number, since both sets are dense in $\mathbb{R}$. Then, each superior sum is 1 and also the infimum of this superior sums, whereas each lowersum is 0 , the same as the suppremum of all lowersums. Since the suppremum and the infimum are different, then the Riemann integral does not exist.
- However, it is Lebesgue integrable on $[0,1]$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure $\lambda$, since by definition

$$
\int_{[0,1]} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} d \lambda=\lambda(\mathbb{Q} \cap[0,1])=0
$$

since $Q$ is numerable.

## Proposition 1.12 (Properties of Lebesgue integral)

(a) If $\mu(A)=0$, then $\int_{A} f d \mu=0$.
(b) If $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of disjoint sets with $A=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$, then $\int_{A} f d \mu=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{n}} f d \mu$.
(c) If two measurable functions $f$ and $g$ are equal in all parts of their domain except for a subset with measure $\mu$ zero and $f$ is Lebesgue integrable, then $g$ is also Lebesgue integrable and their Lebesgue integral is the same, that is,

$$
\text { If } \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega: f(\omega) \neq g(\omega)\})=0 \text {, then } \int_{\Omega} f d \mu=\int_{\Omega} g d \mu \text {. }
$$

(d) Linearity: If $f$ and $g$ are Lebesgue integrable functions and $a$ and $b$ are real numbers, then

$$
\int_{A}(a f+b g) d \mu=a \int_{A} f d \mu+b \int_{A} g d \mu .
$$

(e) Monotonocity: If $f$ and $g$ are Lebesgue integrable and $f<g$, then

$$
\int f d \mu \leq \int g d \mu
$$

## Theorem 1.7 (Monotone convergence theorem)

Consider a point-wise increasing sequence of $[0, \infty]$-valued measurable functions $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ (i.e., $0 \leq f_{n}(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall n>1$ ) with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f$. Then,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_{n} d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f d \mu
$$

## Theorem 1.8 (Dominated convergence theorem)

Consider a sequence of real-valued measurable functions $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}=f$. Assume that the sequence is dominated by an integrable function $g$ (i.e., $\left|f_{n}(x)\right| \leq g(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\left.\int_{\Omega} g(x) d \mu<\infty\right)$. Then,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_{n} d \mu=\int_{\Omega} f d \mu
$$

## Theorem 1.9 (Hölder's inequality)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p>1$ and $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Let $f$ and $g$ be measurable functions with $|f|^{p}$ and $|g|^{q} \mu$-integrable (i.e., $\int|f|^{p} d \mu<\infty$ and $\int|g|^{q} d \mu<\infty$.). Then, $|f g|$ is also $\mu$-integrable (i.e., $\int|f g| d \mu<\infty$ ) and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|f g| d \mu \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|g|^{q} d \mu\right)^{1 / q}
$$

The particular case with $p=q=2$ is known as Schwartz's inequality.

## Theorem 1.10 (Minkowski's inequality)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Let $p \geq 1$. Let $f$ and $g$ be measurable functions with $|f|^{p}$ and $|g|^{p} \mu$-integrable. Then, $|f+g|^{p}$ is also $\mu$-integrable and

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega}|f+g|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}+\left(\int_{\Omega}|g|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Definition 1.32 ( $L^{p}$ space)
Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Let $p \neq 0$. We define the $L^{p}(\mu)$ space as the set of measurable functions $f$ with $|f|^{p} \mu$-integrable, that is,

$$
L^{p}(\mu)=L^{p}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)=\left\{f: f \text { measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d \mu<\infty\right\}
$$

By the Minkowski's inequality, the $L^{p}(\mu)$ space with $1 \leq p<\infty$ is a vector space in $\mathbb{R}$, in which we can define a norm and the corresponding metric associated with that norm.

## Proposition 1.13 (Norm in $L^{p}$ space)

The function $\phi: L^{p}(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that assigns to each function $f \in L^{p}(\mu)$ the value $\phi(f)=$ $\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p}$ is a norm in the vector space $L^{p}(\mu)$ and it is denoted as

$$
\|f\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d \mu\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Now we can introduce a metric in $L^{p}(\mu)$ as

$$
d(f, g)=\|f-g\|_{p}
$$

A vector space with a metric obtained from a norm is called a metric space.

## Problems

1. Prove that if $f$ and $g$ are measurable, then $g \circ f$ is also measurable.
2. Using Corollary 1.1, prove that if $f$ and $g$ are measurable functions from $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, then $\max \{f, g\}$ and $\min \{f, g\}$ are measurable.
3. Prove Proposition 1.9.
4. Prove Prop. 1.12 (a).
5. Prove Prop. 1.12 (b).
6. Prove Prop. 1.12 (c).

### 1.7 Distribution function

We will consider the probability space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, P)$. The distribution function will be a very important tool since it will summarize the probabilities over Borel subsets.

## Definition 1.33 (Distribucion function)

Let $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, P)$ a probability space. The distribution function (d.f.) associated with the probability function $P$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
F: & \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1] \\
& x \rightsquigarrow F(x)=P(-\infty, x] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can also define $F(-\infty)=\lim _{x \downarrow-\infty} F(x)$ and $F(+\infty)=\lim _{x \uparrow+\infty} F(x)$. Then, the distribution function is $F:[-\infty,+\infty] \rightarrow[0,1]$.

## Proposition 1.14 (Properties of the d.f.)

(i) The d.f. is monotone increasing, that is,

$$
x<y \Rightarrow F(x) \leq F(y) .
$$

(ii) $F(-\infty)=0$ and $F(+\infty)=1$.
(iii) $F$ is right continuous for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 1.3 If the d.f. was defined as $F(x)=P(-\infty, x)$, then it would be left continuous.

We can speak about a d.f. without reference to the probability measure $P$ that is used to define the d.f.

Definition 1.34 A function $F:[-\infty,+\infty] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a d.f. if and only if satisfies Properties (i)-(iii).

Now, given a d.f. $F$ verifying (i)-(iii), is there a unique probability function over $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ whose d.f. is exactly $F$ ?

Proposition 1.15 Let $F:[-\infty,+\infty] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a function that satisfies properties (i)(iii). Then, there is a unique probability function $P_{F}$ defined over $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ such that the distribution function associated with $P_{F}$ is exactly $F$.

Remark 1.4 Let $a, b$ be real numbers with $a<b$. Then $P(a, b]=F(b)-F(a)$.
Theorem 1.11 The set $D(F)$ of discontinuity points of $F$ is finite or countable.

## Definition 1.35 (Discrete d.f.)

A d.f. $F$ is discrete if there exists a finite or countable set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, \ldots\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $P_{F}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)>0, \forall i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{F}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)=1$, where $P_{F}$ is the probability function associated with $F$.

## Definition 1.36 (Probability mass function)

The collection of numbers $P_{F}\left(\left\{a_{1}\right\}\right), \ldots, P_{F}\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right), \ldots$, such that $P_{F}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)>0, \forall i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{F}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)=1$, is called probability mass function.

Remark 1.5 Observe that

$$
F(x)=P_{F}(-\infty, x]=\sum_{a_{i} \leq x} P_{F}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right) .
$$

Thus, $F(x)$ is a step function and the length of the step at $a_{n}$ is exactly the probability of $a_{n}$, that is,

$$
P_{F}\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)=P\left(-\infty, a_{n}\right]-P\left(-\infty, a_{n}\right)=F\left(a_{n}\right)-\lim _{x \downarrow a_{n}} F(x)=F\left(a_{n}\right)-F\left(a_{n}-\right) .
$$

## Theorem 1.12 (Radon-Nykodym Theorem)

Given a measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$, if a $\sigma$-finite measure $\mu$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ is absolutely continuous with respect to a $\sigma$-finite measure $\lambda$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$, then there is a measurable function $f$ : $\Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, such that for any measurable set $A$,

$$
\mu(A)=\int_{A} f d \lambda
$$

The function $f$ satisfying the above equality is uniquely defined up to a set with measure $\mu$ zero, that is, if $g$ is another function which satisfies the same property, then $f=g$ except in a set with measure $\mu$ zero. $f$ is commonly written $d \mu / d \lambda$ and is called the Radon-Nikodym derivative. The choice of notation and the name of the function reflects the fact that the function is analogous to a derivative in calculus in the sense that it describes the rate of change of density of one measure with respect to another.

Theorem 1.13 A finite measure $\mu$ on Borel subsets of the real line is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure if and only if the point function

$$
F(x)=\mu((-\infty, x])
$$

is a locally and absolutely continuous real function.
If $\mu$ is absolutely continuous, then the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\mu$ is equal almost everywhere to the derivative of $F$. Thus, the absolutely continuous measures on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are precisely those that have densities; as a special case, the absolutely continuous d.f.'s are precisely the ones that have probability density functions.

## Definition 1.37 (Absolutely continuous d.f.)

A d.f. is absolutely continuous if and only if there is a non-negative Lebesgue integrable function $f$ such that

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, F(x)=\int_{(-\infty, x]} f d \lambda
$$

where $\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure. The function $f$ is called probability density function, p.d.f.

Proposition 1.16 Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$ be a Riemann integrable function such that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) d t=1$. Then, $F(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t) d t$ is an absolutely continuous d.f. whose associated p.d.f is $f$.

All the p.d.f.'s that we are going to see are Riemann integrable.
Proposition 1.17 Let $F$ be an absolutely continuous d.f. Then it holds:
(a) $F$ is continuous.
(b) If $f$ is continuous in the point $x$, then $F$ is differentiable in $x$ and $F^{\prime}(x)=f(x)$.
(c) $P_{F}(\{x\})=0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.
(d) $P_{F}(a, b)=P_{F}(a, b]=P_{F}[a, b)=P_{F}[a, b]=\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t, \forall a, b$ with $a<b$.
(e) $P_{F}(B)=\int_{B} f(t) d t, \forall B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Remark 1.6 Note that:
(1) Not all continuous d.f.'s are absolutely continuous.
(2) Another type of d.f's are those called singular d.f.'s, which are continuous. We will not study them.

Proposition 1.18 Let $F_{1}, F_{2}$ be d.f.'s and $\lambda \in[0,1]$. Then, $F=\lambda F_{1}+(1-\lambda) F_{2}$ is a d.f.

## Definition 1.38 (Mixed d.f.)

A d.f. is said to be mixed if and only if there is a discrete d.f. $F_{1}$, an absolutely continuous d.f. $F_{2}$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$ such that $F=\lambda F_{1}+(1-\lambda) F_{2}$.

### 1.8 Random variables

A random variable transforms the elements of the sample space $\Omega$ into real numbers (elements from $\mathbb{R}$ ), preserving the $\sigma$-algebra structure of the initial events.

Definition 1.39 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ be a measurable space. Consider also the measurable space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra over $\mathbb{R}$. A random variable (r.v.) is a function $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is measurable, that is,

$$
\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, \quad X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}
$$

where $X^{-1}(B):=\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) \in B\}$.
Remark 1.7 Observe that:
(a) a r.v. $X$ is simply a measurable function in $\mathbb{R}$. The name random variable stems from the fact the result of the random experiment $\omega \in \Omega$ is random, and then the observed value of the r.v., $X(\omega)$, is also random.
(b) the measurability property of the r.v. will allow transferring probabilities of events $A \in \mathcal{A}$ to probabilities of Borel sets $I \in \mathcal{B}$, where $I$ is the image of $A$ through $X$.

Example 1.17 For the experiments introduced in Example 1.8, the following are random variables:
(a) For the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ with sample space $\Omega=\{$ "head", "tail" $\}$ and $\sigma$ algebra $\mathcal{A}=\{\emptyset,\{$ "head" $\},\{$ "tail" $\}, \Omega\}$, a random variable is:

$$
X(\omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } \omega=" h e a d " \\
0 & \text { if } \omega=\text { "tail" }
\end{array}\right.
$$

This variable counts the number of heads when tossing a coin. In fact, it is a random variable, since for any event from the final space $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have
$\checkmark$ If $0,1 \in B$, then $X^{-1}(B)=\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$.
$\checkmark$ If $0 \in B$ but $1 \notin B$, then $X^{-1}(B)=\{$ "tail" $\} \in \mathcal{A}$.
$\checkmark$ If $1 \in B$ but $0 \notin B$, then $X^{-1}(B)=\{$ "head" $\} \in \mathcal{A}$.
$\checkmark$ If $0,1 \notin B$, then $X^{-1}(B)=\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$.
(b) For the measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{P}(\Omega))$, where $\Omega=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, a trivial r.v. is $X_{1}(\omega)=\omega$. It is a r.v. since for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$
X_{1}^{-1}(B)=\left\{\omega \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}: X_{1}(\omega)=\omega \in B\right\}
$$

is the set of natural numbers (including zero) that are contained in $B$. But any countable set of natural numbers belongs to $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, since this $\sigma$-algebra contains all subsets of $\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Therefore, $X_{1}=$ "Number of traffic accidents in a minute in Spain" is a r.v.

Another r.v. could be

$$
X_{2}(\omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } \omega \in \mathbb{N} \\
0 & \text { if } \omega=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Again, $X_{2}$ is a r.v. since for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$,
$\checkmark$ If $0,1 \in B$, then $X_{2}^{-1}(B)=\Omega \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.
$\checkmark$ If $1 \in B$ but $0 \notin B$, then $X_{2}^{-1}(B)=I N \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.
$\checkmark$ If $0 \in B$ but $1 \notin B$, then $X_{2}^{-1}(B)=\{0\} \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.
$\checkmark$ If $0,1 \notin B$, then $X_{2}^{-1}(B)=\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.
(c) As in previous example, for the measurable space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_{\Omega}\right)$, where $\Omega=[m, \infty)$, a possible r.v. is $X_{1}(\omega)=\omega$, since for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$
X_{1}^{-1}(B)=\left\{\omega \in[a, \infty): X_{1}(\omega)=\omega \in B\right\}=[a, \infty) \cap B \in \mathcal{B}_{\Omega} .
$$

Another r.v. would be the indicator of less than 65 kgs ., given by

$$
X_{2}(\omega)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \omega \geq 65 \\ 0 & \text { if } \omega<65 .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 1.14 Any function $X$ from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ that is continuous is a r.v.
The probability of an event from $\mathbb{R}$ induced by a r.v. is going to be defined as the probability of the "original" events from $\Omega$, that is, the probability of a r.v. preserves the probabilities of the original measurable space. This definition requires the measurability property, since the "original" events must be in the initial $\sigma$-algebra so that they have a probability.

## Definition 1.40 (Probability induced by a r.v.)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be a measure space and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the Borel $\sigma$-algebra over $\mathbb{R}$. The probability induced by the r.v. $X$ is a function $P_{X}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined as

$$
P_{X}(B)=P\left(X^{-1}(B)\right), \forall B \in \mathcal{B} .
$$

Theorem 1.15 The probability induced by a r.v. $X$ is a probability function in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$.
Example 1.18 For the probability function $P_{1}$ defined in Example 1.10 and the r.v. defined in Example 1.17 (a), the probability induced by a r.v. $X$ is described as follows. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$.
$\checkmark$ If $0,1 \in B$, then $P_{1 X}(B)=P_{1}\left(X^{-1}(B)\right)=P_{1}(\Omega)=1$.
$\checkmark$ If $0 \in B$ but $1 \notin B$, then $P_{1 X}(B)=P_{1}\left(X^{-1}(B)\right)=P_{1}(\{$ "tail" $\})=1 / 2$.
$\checkmark$ If $1 \in B$ but $0 \notin B$, then $P_{1 X}(B)=P_{1}\left(X^{-1}(B)\right)=P_{1}(\{$ "head" $\})=1 / 2$.
$\checkmark$ If $0,1 \notin B$, then $P_{1 X}(B)=P_{1}\left(X^{-1}(B)\right)=P_{1}(\emptyset)=0$.
Summarizing, the probability induced by $X$ is

$$
P_{1 X}(B)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } 0,1 \notin B \\ 1 / 2, & \text { if } 0 \text { or } 1 \text { are in } B \\ 1, & \text { if } 0,1 \in B\end{cases}
$$

In particular, we obtain the following probabilities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \checkmark \quad P_{1 X}(\{0\})=P_{1}(X=0)=1 / 2 \\
& \checkmark \quad P_{1 X}((-\infty, 0])=P_{1}(X \leq 0)=1 / 2 \\
& \checkmark \quad P_{1 X}((0,1])=P_{1}(0<X \leq 1)=1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 1.19 For the probability function $P$ introduced in Example 1.11 and the r.v. $X_{1}$ defined in Example 1.17 (b), the probability induced by the r.v. $X_{1}$ is described as follows. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathbb{I} \cap B=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}\right\}$.

$$
P_{X_{1}}(B)=P\left(X_{1}^{-1}(B)\right)=P_{1}((I N \cup\{0\}) \cap B)=P\left(\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p}\right\}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} P\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)
$$

## Definition 1.41 (Degenerate r.v.)

A r.v. $X$ is said to be degenerate at a point $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$
P(X=c)=P_{X}(\{c\})=1
$$

Since $P_{X}$ is a probability function, there is a distribution function that summarizes its values.

## Definition 1.42 (Distribucion function)

The distribucion function (d.f.) of a r.v. $X$ is defined as the function $F_{X}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ with

$$
F_{X}(x)=P_{X}(-\infty, x], \forall x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Definition 1.43 A r.v. $X$ is said to be discrete (absolutely continuous) if and only if its d.f. $F_{X}$ is discrete (absolutely continuous).

Remark 1.8 It holds that:
(a) a discrete r.v. takes a finite or countable number of values.
(b) a continuous r.v. takes infinite number of values, and the probability of single values are zero.

## Definition 1.44 (Support of a r.v.)

(a) If $X$ is a discrete r.v., we define the support of $X$ as

$$
D_{X}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: P_{X}\{x\}>0\right\} .
$$

(b) If $X$ continuous, the support is defined as

$$
D_{X}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: f_{X}(x)>0\right\}
$$

Observe that for a discrete r.v., $\sum_{x \in D_{X}} P_{X}\{x\}=1$ and $D_{X}$ is finite or countable.
Example 1.20 From the random variables introduced in Example 1.17, those defined in (a) and (b) are discrete, along with $X_{2}$ form (c).

For a discrete r.v. $X$, we can define a function the gives the probabilities of single points.

Definition 1.45 The probability mass function (p.m.f) of a discrete r.v. $X$ is the function $p_{X}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
p_{X}(x)=P_{X}(\{x\}), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

We will also use the notation $p(X=x)=p_{X}(x)$.
The probability function induced by a discrete r.v. $X, P_{X}$, is completely determined by the distribution function $F_{X}$ or by the mass function $p_{X}$. Thus, in the following, when we speak about the "distribution" of a discrete r.v. $X$, we could be referring either to the probability function induced by $X, P_{X}$, the distribution function $F_{X}$, or the mass function $p_{X}$.

Example 1.21 The r.v. $X_{1}$ : "Weight of a randomly selected Spanish woman aged within 20 and 40", defined in Example 1.17 (c), is continuous.

Definition 1.46 The probability density function (p.d.f.) of $X$ is a function $f_{X}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$
f_{X}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } x \in S ; \\
F_{X}^{\prime}(x) & \text { if } x \notin S
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is named probability density function of $x$ because it gives the density of probability of an infinitesimal interval centered in $x$.

The same as in the discrete case, the probabilities of a continuous r.v. $X$ are determined either by $P_{X}, F_{X}$ or the p.d.f. $f_{X}$. Again, the "distribution" of a r.v., could be referring to any of these functions.

Random variables, as measurable functions, inherit all the properties of measurable functions. Furthermore, we will be able to calculate Lebesgue integrals of measurable functions of r.v.'s using as measure their induced probability functions. This will be possible due to the following theorem.

## Theorem 1.16 (Theorem of change of integration space)

Let $X$ be a r.v. from $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ an $g$ another r.v. from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. Then,

$$
\int_{\Omega}(g \circ X) d P=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d P_{X} .
$$

Remark 1.9 Let $F_{X}$ be the d.f. associated with the probability measure $P_{X}$. The integral

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d P_{X}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x) d P_{X}(x)
$$

will also be denoted as

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d F_{x}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x) d F_{X}(x)
$$

Proposition 1.19 If $X$ is an absolutely continuous r.v. with d.f. $F_{X}$ and p.d.f. with respect to the Lebesgue measure $f_{X}=d F_{X} / d \mu$ and if $g$ is any function for which $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|g| d P_{X}<\infty$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d P_{X}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \cdot f_{X} d \mu
$$

In the following we will see how to calculate these integrals for the most interesting cases of d.f. $F_{X}$.
(a) $F_{X}$ discrete: The probability is concentrated in a finite or numerable set $D_{X}=$ $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, \ldots\right\}$, with probabilities $P_{X}\left\{a_{1}\right\}, \ldots, P_{X}\left\{a_{n}\right\}, \ldots$. Then, using properties (a) and (b) of the Lebesgue integral,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d P_{X} & =\int_{D_{X}} g d P_{X}+\int_{D_{X}^{c}} g(x) d P_{X} \\
& =\int_{D_{X}} g d P_{X} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\left\{a_{n}\right\}} g d P_{X} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g\left(a_{n}\right) P_{X}\left\{a_{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $F_{X}$ absolutely continuous: In this case,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d P_{X}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \cdot f_{X} d \mu
$$

and if $g \cdot f_{X}$ is Riemann integrable, then it is also Lebesgue integrable and the two integrals coincide, i.e.,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g d P_{X}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \cdot f_{X} d \mu=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(x) f_{X}(x) d x
$$

Definition 1.47 The expectation of the r.v. $X$ is defined as

$$
\mu=E(X)=\int_{\Omega} X d P
$$

Corollary 1.2 The expectation of $X$ can be calculated as

$$
E(X)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x d F_{X}(x)
$$

and provided that $X$ is absolutely continuous with p.d.f. $f_{X}(x)$, then

$$
E(X)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x f_{X}(x) d x
$$

Definition 1.48 The $k$-th moment of $X$ with respect to $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$
\alpha_{k, a}=E\left(g_{k, a} \circ X\right),
$$

where $g_{k, a}(x)=(x-a)^{k}$, provided that the expectation exists.
Remark 1.10 It holds that

$$
\alpha_{k, a}=\int_{\Omega}\left(g_{k, a} \circ X\right) d P=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{k, a}(x) d F_{X}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(x-a)^{k} d F_{X}(x) .
$$

Observe that for the calculation of the moments of a r.v. $X$ we only require is its d.f.

Definition 1.49 The $k$-th moment of $X$ with respect to the mean $\mu$ is

$$
\mu_{k}:=\alpha_{k, \mu}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(x-\mu)^{k} d F_{X}(x)
$$

In particular, second moment with respect to the mean is called variance,

$$
\sigma_{X}^{2}=V(X)=\mu_{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(x-\mu)^{2} d F_{X}(x)
$$

The standard deviation is $\sigma_{X}=\sqrt{V(X)}$.

Definition 1.50 The $k$-th moment of $X$ with respect to the origin $\mu$ is

$$
\alpha_{k}:=\alpha_{k, 0}=E\left(X^{n}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} d F_{X}(x) .
$$

Proposition 1.20 It holds

$$
\mu_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\binom{k}{i} \mu^{k-i} \alpha_{i} .
$$

Lemma 1.1 If $\alpha_{k}=E\left(X^{k}\right)$ exists and is finite, then there exists $\alpha_{m}$ and is finite, $\forall m \leq k$.
One way of obtaining information about the distribution of a random variable is to calculate the probability of intervals of the type $(E(X)-\epsilon, E(X)+\epsilon)$. If we do not know the theoretical distribution of the random variable but we do know its expectation and variance, the Tchebychev's inequality gives a lower bound of this probability. This inequality is a straightforward consequence of the following one.

## Theorem 1.17 (Markov's inequality)

Let $X$ be a r.v. from $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ and $g$ be a non-negative r.v. from $\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, P_{X}\right)$ in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ and let $k>0$. Then, it holds

$$
P(\{\omega \in \Omega: g(X(\omega)) \geq k\}) \leq \frac{E[g(X)]}{k}
$$

## Theorem 1.18 (Tchebychev's inequality)

Let $X$ be a r.v. with finite mean $\mu$ and finite standard deviation $\sigma$. Then

$$
P(\{\omega \in \Omega:|X(\omega)-\mu| \geq k \sigma\}) \leq \frac{1}{k^{2}}
$$

Corollary 1.3 Let $X$ be a r.v. with mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma=0$. Then, $P(X=\mu)=1$.

## Problems

1. Prove Markov's inequality.
2. Prove Tchebychev's inequality.
3. Prove Corollary 1.3.

### 1.9 The characteristic function

We are going to define the characteristic function associated with a distribution function (or with a random variable). This function is pretty useful due to its close relation with the d.f. and the moments of a r.v.

## Definition 1.51 (Characteristic function)

Let $X$ be a r.v. defined from the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ into $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. The characteristic function (c.f.) of $X$ is

$$
\varphi(t)=E\left[e^{i t X}\right]=\int_{\Omega} e^{i t X} d P, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

## Remark 1.11 (The c.f. is determined by the d.f.)

The function $Y_{t}=g_{t}(X)=e^{i t X}$ is a composition of two measurable functions, $X(\omega)$ and $g_{t}(x)$, that is, $Y_{t}=g_{t} \circ X$. Then, $Y_{t}$ is measurable and by the Theorem of change of integration space, the c.f. is calculated as

$$
\varphi(t)=\int_{\Omega} e^{i t X} d P=\int_{\Omega}\left(g_{t} \circ X\right) d P=\int_{\Omega} g_{t} d P_{X}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{t}(x) d F(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i t x} d F(x),
$$

where $P_{X}$ is the probability induced by $X$ and $F$ is the d.f. associated with $P_{X}$. Observe that the only thing that we need to obtain $\varphi$ is the d.f., $F$, that is, $\varphi$ is uniquely determined by $F$.

Remark 1.12 Observe that:

- $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i t x} d F(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos (t x) d F(x)+i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sin (t x) d F(x)$.
- Since $|\cos (t x)| \leq 1$ and $|\sin (t x)| \leq 1$, then it holds:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\cos (t x)| \leq 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\sin (t x)| \leq 1
$$

and therefore, $|\cos (t x)|$ and $|\sin (t x)|$ are integrable. This means that $\varphi(t)$ exists $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Many properties of the integral of real functions can be translated to the integral of the complex function $e^{i t x}$. In practically all cases, the result is a straightforward consequence of the fact that to integrate a complex values function is equivalent to integrate separately the real and imaginary parts.


## Proposition 1.21 (Properties of the c.f.)

Let $\varphi(t)$ be the characteristic function associated with the d.f. $F$. Then
(a) $\varphi(0)=1(\varphi$ is non-vanishing at $t=0)$;
(b) $|\varphi(t)| \leq 1$ ( $\varphi$ is bounded);
(c) $\varphi(-t)=\overline{\varphi(t)}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\overline{\varphi(t)}$ denotes the conjugate complex of $\varphi(t)$;
(d) $\varphi(t)$ is uniformly continuous in $\mathbb{R}$, that is,

$$
\lim _{h \downarrow 0}|\varphi(t+h)-\varphi(t)|=0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Theorem 1.19 (c.f. of a linear transformation)
(i) Let $X$ be a r.v. with c.f. $\varphi_{X}(t)$. Then, the c.f. of $Y=a X+b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, is $\varphi_{Y}(t)=e^{i t b} \varphi_{X}(a t)$.
(ii) If $X$ and $Y$ are independent r.v.s with respective c.f. $\varphi_{X}(t)$ and $\varphi_{Y}(t)$, then $\varphi_{X+Y}(t)=\varphi_{X}(t) \varphi_{Y}(t)$.

## Example 1.22 (c.f. for some r.v.s)

Here we give the c.f. of some well known random variables:
(i) For the $\operatorname{Binomial}$ distribution, $\operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$, the c.f. is given by

$$
\varphi(t)=\left(q+p e^{i t}\right)^{n}
$$

(ii) For the Poisson distribution, $\operatorname{Pois}(\lambda)$, the c.f. is given by

$$
\varphi(t)=\exp \left\{\lambda\left(e^{i t}-1\right)\right\}
$$

(iii) For the Normal distribution, $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, the c.f. is given by

$$
\varphi(t)=\exp \left\{i \mu t-\frac{\sigma^{2} t^{2}}{2}\right\}
$$

Lemma $1.2 \forall x \in \mathbb{R},\left|e^{i x}-1\right| \leq|x|$.

## Remark 1.13 (Proposition 1.21 does not determine a c.f.)

If $\varphi(t)$ is a c.f., then Properties (a)-(d) in Proposition 1.21 hold but the reciprocal is not true, see Example 1.23.

## Theorem 1.20 (Moments are determined by the c.f.)

If the $n$-th moment of $F, \alpha_{n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{n} d F(x)$, is finite, then
(a) The $n$-th derivative of $\varphi(t)$ at $t=0$ exists and satisfies $\varphi^{n)}(0)=i^{n} \alpha_{n}$.
(b) $\varphi^{n)}(t)=i^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i t x} x^{n} d F(x)$.

## Corollary 1.4 (Series expansion of the c.f.)

If $\alpha_{n}=E\left(X^{n}\right)$ exists $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then it holds that

$$
\varphi_{X}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \frac{(i t)^{n}}{n!}, \quad \forall t \in(-r, r)
$$

where $(-r, r)$ is the radius of convergence of the series.

## Example 1.23 (Proposition 1.21 does not determine a c.f.)

Consider the function $\varphi(t)=\frac{1}{1+t^{4}}, t \in \mathbb{R}$. This function verifies properties (a)-(d) in Proposition 1.21. However, observe that the first derivative evaluated at zero is

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(0)=\left|\frac{-4 t^{3}}{\left(1+t^{4}\right)^{2}}\right|_{t=0}=0
$$

The second derivative at zero is

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(0)=\left|\frac{-12 t^{2}\left(1+t^{4}\right)^{2}+4 t^{3} 2\left(1+t^{4}\right) 4 t^{3}}{\left(1+t^{4}\right)^{4}}\right|_{t=0}=0
$$

Then, if $\varphi(t)$ is the c.f. of a r.v. $X$, the mean and variance are equal to

$$
E(X)=\alpha_{1}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(0)}{i}=0, \quad V(X)=\alpha_{2}-(E(X))^{2}=\alpha_{2}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(0)}{i^{2}}=0 .
$$

But a random variable with mean and variance equal to zero is a degenerate variable at zero, that is, $P(X=0)=1$, and then its c.f. is

$$
\varphi(t)=E\left[e^{i t 0}\right]=1, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

which is a contradiction.
We have seen already that the d.f. determines the c.f. The following theorem gives an expression of the d.f. in terms of the c.f. for an interval. This result will imply that the c.f. determines a unique d.f.

## Theorem 1.21 (Inversion Theorem)

Let $\varphi(t)$ be the c.f. corresponding to the d.f. $F(x)$. Let $a, b$ be two points of continuity of $F$, that is, $a, b \in \mathcal{C}(F)$. Then,

$$
F(b)-F(a)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \frac{e^{-i t a}-e^{-i t b}}{i t} \varphi(t) d t
$$

As a consequence of the Inversion Theorem, we obtain the following result.

## Theorem 1.22 (The c.f. determines a unique d.f.)

If $\varphi(t)$ is the c.f. of a d.f. $F$, then it is not the c.f. of any other d.f.

## Remark 1.14 (c.f. for an absolutely continuous r.v.)

If $F$ is absolutely continuous with p.d.f. $f$, then the c.f. is

$$
\varphi(t)=E\left[e^{i t X}\right]=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i t x} d F(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i t x} f(x) d x
$$

We have seen that for absolutely continuous $F$, the c.f. $\varphi(t)$ can be expressed in terms of the p.d.f. $f$. However, it is possible to express the p.d.f. $f$ in terms of the c.f. $\varphi(t)$ ? The next theorem is the answer.

## Theorem 1.23 (Fourier transform of the c.f.)

If $F$ is absolutely continuous and $\varphi(t)$ is Riemann integrable in $\mathbb{R}$, that is, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\varphi(t)| d t<$ $\infty$, then $\varphi(t)$ is the c.f. corresponding to an absolutely continuous r.v. with p.d.f. given by

$$
f(x)=F^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i t x} \varphi(t) d t
$$

where the last term is called the Fourier transform of $\varphi$.
In the following, we are going to study the c.f. of random variables that share the probability symmetrically in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\mathbb{R}_{-}$.

## Definition 1.52 (Symmetric r.v.)

A r.v. $X$ is symmetric if and only if $X \stackrel{d}{=}-X$, that is, iff $F_{X}(x)=F_{-X}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Remark 1.15 (Symmetric r.v.)

Since $\varphi$ is determined by $F, X$ is symmetric iff $\varphi_{X}(t)=\varphi_{-X}(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Corollary 1.5 (Another characterization of a symmetric r.v.)

$X$ is symmetric iff $F_{X}(-x)=1-F_{X}\left(x^{-}\right)$, where $F_{X}\left(x^{-}\right)=P(X<x)$.
Corollary 1.6 (Another characterization of a symmetric r.v.)
$X$ is symmetric iff $\varphi_{X}(t)=\varphi_{X}(-t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

## Corollary 1.7 (Properties of a symmetric r.v.)

Let $X$ be a symmetric r.v. Then,
(a) If $F_{X}$ is absolutely continuous, then $f_{X}(x)=f_{X}(-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.
(b) If $F_{X}$ is discrete, then $P_{X}(x)=P_{X}(-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.24 (c.f. of a symmetric r.v.)
The c.f. $\varphi$ of the d.f. $F$ is real iff $F$ is symmetric.

Remark 1.16 We know that $\varphi(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ determines completely $F(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. However, if we only know $\varphi(t)$ for $t$ in a finite interval, then do we know completely $F(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ? The answer is no, since we can find two different d.f.s with the same c.f. in a finite interval, see Example 1.24.

Example 1.24 (The c.f. in a finite interval does not determine the d.f.) Consider the r.v. $X$ taking the values $\mp(2 n+1), n=0,1,2, \cdots$, with probabilities

$$
P(X=2 n+1)=P(X=-(2 n+1))=\frac{4}{\pi^{2}(2 n+1)^{2}}, \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots
$$

Consider also the r.v. $Y$ taking values $0, \mp(4 n+2), n=0,1,2, \ldots$, with probabilities

$$
P(Y=0)=1 / 2, \quad P(Y=4 n+2)=P(X=-(4 n+2))=\frac{2}{\pi^{2}(2 n+1)^{2}}, \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots
$$

Using the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n+1)^{2}}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8} \\
& \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos (2 n+1) t}{(2 n+1)^{2}}=1-\frac{2|t|}{\pi}, \quad|t| \leq \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

prove:
(a) $P_{X}$ is a probability function;
(b) $P_{Y}$ is a probability function;
(c) $\varphi_{X}(t)=\varphi_{Y}(t)$, for $|t| \leq \pi / 2$.

Remark 1.17 From the series expansion of the c.f. in Corollary 1.4, one is tempted to conclude that the c.f., and therefore also the d.f., of a r.v. is completely determined by all of its moments, provided that they exist. This is false, see Example 1.25.

## Example 1.25 (Moments do not always determine the c.f.)

For $a \in[0,1]$, consider the p.d.f. defines by

$$
f_{a}(x)=\frac{1}{24} e^{-x^{1 / 4}}\left(1-a \sin \left(x^{1 / 4}\right), \quad x \geq 0 .\right.
$$

Using the following formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-x^{1 / 4}} \sin \left(x^{1 / 4}\right) d x=0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-x^{1 / 4}}=4(4 n+3)!\forall n \in I N \cup\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

prove:
(a) $f_{a}$ is a p.d.f. $\forall a \in[0,1]$.
(b) The moments $\alpha_{n}$ are the same $\forall a \in[0,1]$.
(c) The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} \frac{(i t)^{n}}{n!}$ diverges for all $t \neq 0$.

## Definition 1.53 (Moment generating function)

We define the moment generating function (m.g.f.) of a r.v. $X$ as

$$
M(t)=E\left[e^{t X}\right], \quad t \in(-r, r) \subset \mathbb{R}, r>0
$$

assuming that there exists $r>0$ such that the integral exists for all $t \in(-r, r)$. If such $r>0$ does not exist, then we say that the m.g.f. of $X$ does not exist.

Remark 1.18 Remember that the c.f. always exists unlike the m.g.f.

## Proposition 1.22 (Properties of the m.g.f.)

If there exists $r>0$ such that the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t x)^{n}}{n!}
$$

is uniformly convergent in $(-r, r)$, where $r$ is called the radius of convergence of the series, then it holds that
(a) The $n$-th moment $\alpha_{n}$ exists and if finite, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(b) The $n$-th derivative of $M(t)$, evaluated at $t=0$, exists and it satisfies $M^{n}(0)=\alpha_{n}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$;
(c) $M(t)$ can be expressed as

$$
M(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{n}}{n!} t^{n}, \quad t \in(-r, r)
$$

Remark 1.19 Under the assumption of Proposition 1.22, the moments $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ determine the d.f. $F$.

Remark 1.20 It might happen that $M(t)$ exists for $t$ outside $(-r, r)$, but that it cannot be expressed as the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{n}}{n!} t^{n}$.

## Problems

1. Find the c.f. of the Binomial distribution, $\operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$.
2. Find the c.f. of the Poisson distribution, $\operatorname{Pois}(\lambda), \operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$.
3. Find the c.f. of the Normal distribution, $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$.

## Chapter 2

## Convergence concepts

In the following, $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ will be a sequence of r.v.s defined over the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$.

## Definition 2.1 (Almost sure convergence)

A sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is said to converge almost surely (a.s.) to the r.v. $X$, represented by $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$, if and only if

$$
P\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}(\omega)=X(\omega)\right\}\right)=1
$$

Convergence "almost surely" is also called "almost everywhere" (a.e.), "with probability 1 " or "strong" convergence.

Remark 2.1 Let us define the set

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}(\omega)=X(\omega)\right\} .
$$

Definition 2.1 has sense only if $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}$.
Definition 2.2 (Almost sure equality)
We say that two r.v.s $X$ and $Y$ are almost surely equal ( $X=Y$ a.s.) iff $P(X \neq Y)=0$.

## Theorem 2.1 (Uniqueness of limiting r.v.)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X \Rightarrow\left[X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} Y \Leftrightarrow X=Y \text { a.s. }\right]
$$

Theorem 2.2 (Another characterization of a.s convergence)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X \Leftrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega:\left|X_{m}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right| \leq \epsilon, \forall m \geq n\right\}\right)=1 .
$$

Example 2.1 Consider the probability space associated with tossing a coin, $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ with $\Omega=\{H, T\}, \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and $P(\{H\})=P(\{T\})=1 / 2$. consider also the sequence of r.v.s $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$, defined by

$$
X_{n}(\{H\})=1 / n, \quad X_{n}(\{T\})=-1 / n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Observe that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X \equiv 0$.

## Definition 2.3 (Convergence in probability)

A sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is said to converge in probability to the r.v. $X$, represented by $X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X$, if and only if

$$
\forall \epsilon>0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega:\left|X_{n}(\omega)-X(\omega)\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}\right)=0
$$

Theorem 2.3 (Uniqueness of limiting r.v.)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X \Rightarrow\left[X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} Y \Leftrightarrow X=Y \text { a.s. }\right]
$$

Theorem 2.4 (a.s convergence implies convergence in prob.)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X \Rightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X .
$$

## Example 2.2 (Counterexample: reciprocal of Theorem 2.4)

We are going to build a sequence of r.v.s that converge in probability to a r.v. $X$ but does not converge a.s. Consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$, with $\Omega=(0,1], \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}_{(0,1]}$ and $P=U(0,1]$. Consider the sequence of r.v.s:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
X_{1}=\mathbf{1}_{(0,1]}, & X_{2}=\mathbf{1}_{(0,1 / 2]}, & X_{3}=\mathbf{1}_{(1 / 2,1]} \\
X_{4}=\mathbf{1}_{(0,1 / 4]}, & X_{5} \mathbf{1}_{(1 / 4,1 / 2]}, & X_{6}=\mathbf{1}_{(1 / 2,3 / 4]} \\
X_{7}=\mathbf{1}_{(3 / 4,1]}, & X_{8}=\mathbf{1}_{(0,1 / 8]}, & X_{9}=\mathbf{1}_{(1 / 8,1 / 4]}, \ldots
\end{array}
$$

Observe that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X$ but $X_{n}(\omega)$ does not converge for any $\omega \in(0,1]$, so that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{a . s} X$.
Theorem 2.5 (Partial converse of Th. 2.4)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X \Rightarrow \text { There is a subsequence of }\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text { that converges almos surely to } X \text {. }
$$

## Definition 2.4 (Convergence in $r$-th mean)

For any real number $r>0$, we say that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ converges in $r$-th mean to a r.v. $X$ $\left(X_{n} \xrightarrow{r} X\right)$, iff

$$
E\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0
$$

In particular, for $r=2$ we say that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ converges to $X$ in quadratic mean, and we represent it by $X_{n} \xrightarrow{q . m .} X$.

Theorem 2.6 (Uniqueness of limiting r.v.)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{q . m .} X \Rightarrow\left[X_{n} \xrightarrow{q . m .} Y \Leftrightarrow X=Y \text { a.s. }\right]
$$

Theorem 2.7 (Convergence in q.m. implies convergence in prob.)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{q . m .} X \Rightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X .
$$

Example 2.3 (Counterexample: Convergence in q.m. does not imply a.s. convergence)
Consider the situation of Example 2.2. We have seen that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ and it is easy to see that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{q . m .} X \equiv 0$.

Example 2.4 (Counterexample: a.s. convergence does not imply convergence in q.m.)
Consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ with $\Omega=(0,1), \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}_{(0,1)}$ and $P=U(0,1)$. Check that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X \equiv 0$ but $X n \xrightarrow{\text { q.m. }} X \equiv 0$ for the following sequences of r.v.s:
(a) $X_{n}(\Omega)=0$ if $\omega \in(0,1-1 / n)$ and $X_{n}(\Omega)=n$ otherwise.
(b) $X_{n}(\Omega)=2^{n}$ if $\omega \in[0,1 / n)$ and $X_{n}(\Omega)=0$ otherwise.

## Theorem 2.8 (Fatou-Lebesgue's Lemma)

If $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ and $X_{n} \geq Y, \forall n \in I N$ and for a r.v. $Y$ with $E|Y|<\infty$, then

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(X_{n}\right) \geq E(X)
$$

## Example 2.5 (Fatou-Lebesgue's Lemma)

For the sequence of r.v.s of Example 2.4 (b), check the Fatou-Lebesgue's Theorem.

## Theorem 2.9 (Monotone Convergence Theorem for r.v.s)

If $0 \leq X_{1} \leq X_{2} \leq \cdots$ and $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$, then

$$
E\left(X_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} E(X)
$$

Theorem 2.10 (Dominated Convergence Theorem for r.v.s)
If $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} X$ and $\left|X_{n}\right| \leq Y$ for a r.v. $Y$ with $E|Y|<\infty$, then

$$
E\left(X_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} E(X)
$$

## Definition 2.5 (Convergence in law/distribution)

Let $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of d.f.s defined over $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, We say that $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in law/distribution to a d.f. $F\left(F_{n} \xrightarrow{d} F\right)$ iff

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}(x)=F(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C}(F)
$$

where $\mathcal{C}(F)$ is the set of points where $F$ is continuous.

## Definition 2.6 (Convergence in law of r.v.s)

A sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ of r.v.s with respective d.f.s $\left\{F_{n}\right\}_{n \in I N}$ is said to converge in law/distribution to a r.v. $X$ with d.f. $F\left(X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X\right)$ iff $F_{n} \xrightarrow{d} F$.

## Proposition 2.1 (Uniqueness of limiting r.v.)

If $F_{n} \xrightarrow{d} F$ and $F_{n} \xrightarrow{d} F^{*}$, then $F=F^{*}$.

## Remark 2.2 (Knowledge of the d.f. on a dense set sufficient)

The proof of Proposition 2.1 implies that $F$ is completely determined when it is given for a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.11 (Convergence in prob. implies convergence in law)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X \Rightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X .
$$

Example 2.6 (Convergence in law)
Consider the sequence of degenerated r.v.s given by $P\left(X_{n}=1 / n\right)=1, n=1,2, \ldots$. Consider also the degenerated r.v. at zero, $P(X=0)=1$. Check that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$.

Example 2.7 (Counterexample: Convergence in law does not imply convergence in prob.)
Consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ with $\Omega=(0,1), \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}_{(0,1)}$ and $P=U(0,1)$.
Consider the sequence of r.v.s defined over $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ by

$$
X_{2 n-1}(\omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text { if } \omega \in(0,1 / 2) \\
0, & \text { if } \omega \in[1 / 2,1)
\end{array}, \quad X_{2 n}(\omega)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \omega \in(0,1 / 2) \\
1, & \text { if } \omega \in[1 / 2,1)\end{cases}\right.
$$

Check that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X$ for any r.v. $X$ but $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$, where $X \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{Bern}(1 / 2)$.
Theorem 2.12 (Convergence in law to a constant implies convergence in prob.)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} c \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} c .
$$

The following example shows that in the definition of convergence in law as the pointwise limit at only the continuity points is convenient, we cannot define it at all points.

Example 2.8 (Conv. in law does not imply convergence in prob.)
Consider the sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$, with $X_{n} \stackrel{d}{=} N(0,1), \forall n \in I N$.
Theorem 2.13 (Borel-Cantelli's Lemma)
Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sets from $\Omega$ and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be a probability space. It holds that:
(a) If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{n}\right)<\infty$, then $P\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \omega \in A_{n}\right.\right.$ i.o. $\left.\}\right)=0$;
(b) Reciprocally, if $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are independent and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(A_{n}\right)=\infty$, then $P(\{\omega \in \Omega: \omega \in$ $A_{n}$ i.o. $\left.\}\right)=1$.

## Example 2.9 (Borel-Cantelli's Lemma)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of r.v.s with $X_{n} \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{Bern}\left(p_{n}\right)$ with $p_{n}=1 / n^{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obtain the probability of $X_{n}=1$ i.o.

## Remark 2.3 (Another characterization of the Borel-Cantelli's Lemma)

The Borel-Cantelli's Lemma is useful in problems related to the a.s. convergence. In fact, another characterization of the a.s. convergence is the following:

$$
P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon \text { i.o. }\right)=0, \quad \forall \epsilon>0
$$

## Lemma 2.1 (Boundedness of measurable functions)

Let $X$ be a r.v. defined from a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. Let $g$ be a bounded measurable function from $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, with $P(X \in \mathcal{C}(g))=1$. Then, it holds that $\forall \epsilon>0$, there exist two continuous and bounded functions $f$ and $g$ such that $f \leq g \leq h$ pointwise and $E[h(X)-f(X)]$.

## Definition 2.7 (Function that vanishes out of a compact set)

Let $g$ be a real function defined on $\mathbb{R}$. We say that $g$ vanishes out of a compact set if and only if there is a compact set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that $g(X)=0, \forall x \notin C$.

Theorem 2.14 (Relation between conv. in law and conv. of expectations)
The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$;
(b) $E\left[g\left(X_{n}\right)\right] \rightarrow E[g(X)]$, for each continuous function $g$ that vanishes out of a compact set;
(c) $E\left[g\left(X_{n}\right)\right] \rightarrow E[g(X)]$, for each continuous and bounded function $g$;
(d) $E\left[g\left(X_{n}\right)\right] \rightarrow E[g(X)]$, for all bounded and measurable function $g$ with $P(X \in$ $\mathcal{C}(g))=1$, where $\mathcal{C}(g)$ is the continuity set of $g$.

Implications $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{c})$ and $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{d})$ are known as Helly-Bray's Theorem.
Example 2.10 (Boundedness is necessary in (c) and (d))
Consider the function $g(x)=x$ and the sequence of r.v.s defined by $P\left(X_{n}=n\right)=1 / n$ and $P\left(X_{n}=0\right)=1-1 / n$. Check that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$, with $X \equiv 0$ but $E\left[g\left(X_{n}\right)\right] \nrightarrow E[g(X)]$. Why does this happen?

## Example 2.11 (Continuity is necessary)

Consider the function

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0, & x=0 \\
1, & x>0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in I N}$ be a sequence of r.v.s with $P\left(X_{n}=1 / n\right)=1, \forall n \in I N$. See that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$, with $X \equiv 0$ but $E\left[g\left(X_{n}\right)\right] \nrightarrow E[g(X)]$. Why does this happen?

Sometimes it is easier to calculate the characteristic function $\varphi_{n}(t)$ than the d.f. $F_{n}(t)$ if a sequence of r.v.s $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$. Then, the convergence in law of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ will be studied through the pointwise convergence of $\varphi_{n}(t)$ by using the following theorem.

## Theorem 2.15 (Continuity Theorem)

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X \leftrightarrow \varphi_{X_{n}}(t) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \varphi_{X}(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

## Problems

1. Consider the sequence of r.v.s given by $P\left(X_{n}=n\right)=1 / n$ and $P\left(X_{n}=0\right)=1-1 / n$, $n=1,2, \ldots$. Consider also the degenerated r.v. at zero, $P(X=0)=1$. Check that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$.
2. Consider the sequence of d.f.s defined as

$$
F_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x<0 \\ n x, & 0 \leq x<1 / n \\ 1, & x \geq 1 / n\end{cases}
$$

Prove that the pointwise limit function is not a d.f. but $F_{n} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} F$, where $F$ is the d.f. given by

$$
F(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x<0 \\ 1, & x \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

3. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of r.v.s with $X_{n} \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{Beta}(1 / n, 1 / n)$ and the r.v. $X \stackrel{d}{=}$ $\operatorname{Bin}(1,1 / 2)$. Prove that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$. Is that true also if $X_{n} \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{Beta}(\alpha / n, \beta / n)$ ?
4. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of r.v.s, where $X_{n}$ is uniformly distributed in the set $\{1 / n, 2 / n, 3 / n, \ldots, 1\}$. Prove that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$, where $X \stackrel{d}{=} U(0,1)$. Does $X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X$ ?
5. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of r.v.s and $X$ another r.v. With the help of Hölder's inequality, prove that:
(a) If $0<r<s$ and $E\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{s}<\infty$, then $E\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r}<\infty$.
(b) If $0<r<s$ and $X_{n} \xrightarrow{s} X$, then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{r} X$.
6. Give and example of a sequence of r.v.s such that

$$
E\left|X_{n}\right| \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0 \quad \text { and } E\left(X_{n}\right)^{2} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0
$$

7. Let $\mu$ be a constant. Show that

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { q.m. }} \mu \leftrightarrow E\left(X_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} \mu \quad \text { and } \quad V\left(X_{n}\right) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0 .
$$

## Chapter 3

## Laws of large numbers

The laws of large numbers are a collection of theorems that establish the convergence, in some of the ways already studied, of sequences of the type $\left\{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-a_{n}\right\}$, where $a_{n}$ is a constant generally given by $a_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{i}\right)$. These theorems are classified as weak or strong laws, depending on whether the convergence is in probability or almost surely.

### 3.1 Weak laws of large numbers

## Theorem 3.1 (Tchebychev's Theorem)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of independent r.v.s (not necessarily identically distributed) such that $V\left(X_{n}\right) \leq M<\infty, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{P} 0 .
$$

## Corollary 3.1 (Tchebychev's Theorem for r.v.s with equal mean)

In the conditions of Theorem 3.1, if $E\left(X_{n}\right)=\mu, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \xrightarrow{P} \mu
$$

Thus, the sample mean converges weakly to the population mean. Historically, the next corollary was the first law of large numbers.

## Corollary 3.2 (Bernouilli's Theorem)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s distributed as $\operatorname{Bern}(p)$. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \xrightarrow{P} p
$$

The next theorem does not require the existence of the variances, but in turn requires the r.v.s to be identically distributed.

## Theorem 3.2 (Khintchine's weak law of large numbers)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s with mean $E\left(X_{n}\right)=\mu \in(-\infty, \infty)$. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \xrightarrow{P} \mu
$$

### 3.2 Strong law of large numbers

## Lemma 3.1 (Kolmogorov's bound)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent r.v.s with mean $E\left(X_{n}\right)=\mu_{n}$ and $V\left(X_{n}\right)=\sigma_{n}^{2}$, both finite. Let $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ and $c_{n}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2}$. Then, it holds that for all $H>0$,

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n}\left\{\omega \in \Omega:\left|S_{k}(\omega)-E\left(S_{k}\right)\right| \geq H c_{n}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{H^{2}}
$$

Theorem 3.3 (Kolmogorov's strong law of large numbers)
Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of independent r.v.s with mean $E\left(X_{n}\right)=\mu_{n}$ and $V\left(X_{n}\right)=\sigma_{n}^{2}$, both finite.

$$
\text { If } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{n}^{2}}{n^{2}}<\infty, \text { then } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0 .
$$

## Corollary 3.3 (Borel-Cantelli's Theorem)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s distributed as $\operatorname{Bern}(p)$. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} p .
$$

This theorem says that the relative frequency of a dichotomic event goes almost surely to the probability of the event.

Finally, the next strong law does not require anything to the variances but it assumes that the r.v.s are i.i.d.

## Theorem 3.4 (Khintchine's strong law of large numbers)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s with $E\left(X_{n}\right)=\mu<\infty$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \mu .
$$

## Chapter 4

## Central limit and Slusky's theorems

The central limit theorems (CLTs) give the asymptotic distributions of sums of independent random variables and Slutky's theorems give the asymptotic distribution of functions of random variables and of sequences that are asymptotically equivalent to other sequences.

### 4.1 Central limit theorems

## Theorem 4.1 (Levy-Lindeberg's Theorem)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s with mean $E\left(X_{n}\right)=\mu$ and variance $V\left(X_{n}\right)=\sigma^{2}$, both finite. Then,

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{V\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) .
$$

The first known CLT was the theorem below, due to De Moivre.

## Corollary 4.1 (De Moivre's Theorem)

If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s distributed as a $\operatorname{Bern}(p)$, then

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{V\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)}} \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(0,1) .
$$

## Example 4.1 (Normal approximation of binomial distribution)

Consider $X \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$. We know that $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}$, where $Z_{i}$ are i.i.d. with $\operatorname{Bern}(p)$
distribution. By the De Moivre's Theorem,

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}-E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{V\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}\right)}}=\frac{X-n p}{\sqrt{n p(1-p)}} \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(0,1)
$$

However, the normal approximation is poor whenever either $n<30$ or $n>30$ but $p$ is too small (such that $n p<5$ ).

## Theorem 4.2 (Lindeberg-Feller's CLT)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of independent r.v.s with means $E\left(X_{n}\right)=\mu_{n}$ and variances $V\left(X_{n}\right)=\sigma_{n}^{2}$, both finite $\forall n \in \mathcal{N}$. Let $c_{n}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2}$. If the following condition, known as the Lindeberg-Feller's condition (LFC), holds:

$$
\forall \epsilon>0, \quad \frac{1}{c_{n}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[\left(X_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}| | X_{i}-\mu_{i} \mid \geq \epsilon c_{n}\right] \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0
$$

then

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{V\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)}} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{d} N(0,1) .
$$

The previous theorem was also extended to sequences of triangular arrays of r.v.s of the form:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
X_{11} & & \\
X_{21} & X_{22} & \\
X_{31} & X_{32} & X_{33}
\end{array},
$$

where the r.v.s in each row are independent and satisfy the LFC, see the theorem below.

## Theorem 4.3 (Lindeberg-Feller's CLT for for triangular arrays)

Let $\left\{X_{n i}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of triangular arrays of r.v.s, where for each $n \in$ $I N$, the r.v.s in $n$-th row $\left\{X_{n 1}, \ldots, X_{n n}\right\}$ are independent with finite means $E\left(X_{n i}\right)=\mu_{n i}$ and variances $V\left(X_{n i}\right)=\sigma_{n i}^{2}$. Let $c_{n}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{n i}^{2}$. If the LFC holds for each row, that is, if

$$
\forall \epsilon>0, \quad \frac{1}{c_{n}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left[\left(X_{n i}-\mu_{n i}\right)^{2}| | X_{n i}-\mu_{n i} \mid \geq \epsilon c_{n}\right] \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0
$$

then

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{n i}-E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{n i}\right)}{\sqrt{V\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{n i}\right)}} \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(0,1) .
$$

Example 4.2 (Asymptotic normality of the LS estimator is linear regression) Consider the sequence of r.v.s defined as

$$
X_{i}=\alpha+\beta Z_{i}+e_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$ are known fixed values and $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots$ are i.i.d. r.v.s with $E\left(e_{i}\right)=0$ and $V\left(e_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}, i=1,2, \ldots$ Let us define $\bar{z}_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}$ and $s_{n}^{2}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{i}-\bar{z}_{n}\right)^{2}$. The LS estimator of $\beta$ is given by

$$
\hat{\beta}_{n}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\left(Z_{i}-\bar{z}_{n}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{i}-\bar{z}_{n}\right)^{2}}
$$

(a) See that $\hat{\beta}_{n}$ can be also expressed as

$$
\hat{\beta}_{n}=\beta+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}\left(Z_{i}-\bar{z}_{n}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Z_{i}-\bar{z}_{n}\right)^{2}}
$$

(b) Using (a) and applying the Lindeberg-Feller's CLT to the sequence of random variables

$$
X_{n i}=e_{i}\left(Z_{i}-\bar{z}_{n}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

prove that if

$$
\gamma_{n}:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\left(Z_{i}-\bar{z}_{n}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(Z_{j}-\bar{z}_{n}\right)^{2}} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} 0
$$

then

$$
\sqrt{n} s_{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{n}-\beta\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) .
$$

### 4.2 Slutsky's theorems

## Theorem 4.4 (Slutsky's theorems)

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ be a sequence of $d$-dimensional r.v.s with $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$. Then it holds
(i) For any $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ such that $P(X \in \mathcal{C}(f))=1$, then

$$
f\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{d} f(X) .
$$

(ii) Let $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be another sequence of $d$-dimensional r.v.s with $X_{n}-Y_{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$. Then,

$$
Y_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X .
$$

(iii) Let $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be another sequence of $d$-dimensional r.v.s with $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{P} c \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then,

$$
\binom{X_{n}}{Y_{n}} \xrightarrow{d}\binom{X}{c} .
$$

Example 4.3 Consider the sequence of r.v.s $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ and the function $f(x)=x^{2}$. Since $f$ in continuous, by Theorem 4.4 (i),

$$
X_{n}^{2} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{X}_{(1)}^{2} .
$$

Example 4.4 Consider the sequence of r.v.s $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ and the function $f(x)=1 / x$. Now $f$ is not continuous at $x=0$, but since $X$ is an absolutely continuous r.v., $P(X \in$ $\mathcal{C}(f))=P\left(X \in\{0\}^{c}\right)=1-P(X=0)=1$. Then, by Theorem 4.4 (i),

$$
1 / X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} 1 / X .
$$

Example 4.5 Consider the sequence of r.v.s $X_{n}=1 / n$ and the function $f=\mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}$. It holds that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} 0$ but $f\left(X_{n}\right)=1 \xrightarrow{d} f(X)=0$. This happens because $\mathcal{C}(f)=\{0\}^{c}$ and $P(X=0)=1$. Then, $P(X \in \mathcal{C}(f))=P\left(X \in\{0\}^{c}\right)=0$.

Corollary 4.2 (Asymptotic distribution of functions of several sequences of random variables)
Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of $d$-dimensional r.v.s with $X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of $k$-dimensional r.v.s with $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{P} c \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{d+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r}$ be such that

$$
P\left(\binom{X}{c} \in \mathcal{C}(f)\right)=1
$$

Then it holds

$$
f\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{d} f(X, c) .
$$

